PX 240



It's still an option to do that CTS + OTC.

It's not that CTS or OTC doesn't matter at all, it is relevant for some purposes (transaction size and security). I do think that split option is okay, but would definitely prefer to move some of the withdrawal to this week if at all possible.

As to addressing things previously during the quarter, I take your point. As things have changed pretty rapidly (from daily purchases to large tranche, from sub \$20m to \$35m Q2 target, etc.) it's tough to keep up, and only 10 days ago did we learn that somehow purchases late in the month help them plug the gap in their financials better.

However we do it for this quarter, if they make it to the max \$35m it's going to be really bad on XRP supply and public visibility, but any efforts to drive consistency (of funding and withdrawals) going forward will likely really help.





One question.

You provided the below option as one of the three options. Is it still an option?

. They withdraw their current balance at the end of the month and also do a OTC purchase to bring them to their total

In your previous email, you said that whether it's CTS or OTC doesn't matter, so I wasn't sure. If this is still an option and prefer this option vs. doing a withdrawal this week.

On Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 1:40 PM
Hi

Thanks for the note below.

I fully understand these concerns, but I feel that we should have made these points clear to rlier in the quarter since our previous discussion was focused on the timing of "commitment" but did not touch on the timing / amount of withdrawal.

If we don't want them to withdraw more than a certain amount per week, we could mention that explicitly and negotiated with them sooner than asking them at the end of the quarter...

Anyway, thinking tactically, for this quarter, I can try to ask to withdraw some this week so that the balance goes below 50M XRP before we can fund 60M XRP. (I know they won't like this, but I'll do my best convincing them.)

For the next quarter and onwards, we should be very explicit about the max balance and/or max withdrawal requirements upfront so that they can factor those into their planning and we don't need to get into a last min negotiation at the end of the quarter...

Thanks

On Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 1:08 PM

As per our slack, ame back in effect saying "top us up on the 26th, without us doing any withdraws first" which is not one of the options we proposed.

Let me lay this out the thinking in long form, especially the factors other than that we usually don't want a third party holding ~\$30 million of value in our assets.

as the contractual right to buy \$35 million of XRP per quarter.

2) However, them doing it all at quarter end, while it may help their financial reporting, is totally terrible for us, regardless of how we deliver the XRP. We publish XRP totals (Ripple vs. everyone else) every single week, and so them doing this all at once means we will disclose Ripple

distributing units of XRP in a single week. I think it's critical that we help understand that their timing has a real and deleterious effect on Ripple, and by extension This stuff gets noticed. However, this bad effect exists no matter how we deliver the XRP (CTS vs. OTC)

3) Us funding CTS with the full \$35 million has additional negative effects, particularly as they can (and have said they plan to) withdraw the entire amount in a single transaction. Given the size, it's pretty likely that twitter "whale watch" bots are going to call the transaction out. Additionally, we won't have any ability to give Comms a heads up that there will be a large transaction to get ahead of any buzz generated. Typically, having two or three withdrawals of ~\$10 million each is less likely to catch this buzz.

In short, ctions in doing all the purchases at the end of the quarter is the worst thing they can do under the contract. Us prefunding the full amount to CTS wallet makes it even worse.

As CTS is just done for convenience and we have no legal obligation to do so, I don't see why we would bend over backwards to facilitate the spike in the XRP supply curve.

I'd recommend we again ask them to make some withdrawals this week so that we can top them up to do the rest of the commitment next week.

Thanks,



On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 3:12 PM

Thanks for the info below.

I'll discuss the three options with and get back to you all on the next steps.

On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 2:28 PM

As discussed on slack, finance perspective hasn't changed here.

The way I see it they can choose between these alternatives

- 1. They withdrawal some before the very end of the month and we top them up
- 2. They withdraw their current balance at the end of the month and also do a OTC purchase to bring them to their total
- 3. They return their CTS XRP and do a big OTC purchase at the end of the quarter.

I don't believe we have any obligation to prefund them with tens of millions of dollars of XRP. We will agree to prefund again when their balance gets below 50 million units.

We are not trying to be difficult, and hope they can realize that our appetite to fund large balance to the segregated CTS wallets is limited.

Thanks,



On Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 2:36 PM . @ripple.com> wrote:

I don't think we should fund this even to the extent of 30m. We're talking about them holding \$30m of XRP that we have title to. That's a big number and while we have some protections in our contracts, I would expect they would understand our hesitancy here.

If they want to buy in bulk, perhaps we should do this OTC rather than CTS.



On Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 1:40 PM

We could also ask if we can fund 30M XRP now, and another 30XRP later in the month. Let me know what you think.

On Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 1:32 PM

As you know, s using the profits from XRP purchase to fill in a gap in their financials. (whether we like it or not, that's what they've been doing.)

They want as much of their purchase as possible to happen at the end of the quarter since profits would be calculated using the quarter-end market price (and therefore they can minimize market exposure and the impact of the volatility on their financials).

In case the price goes down low enough before quarter-end and they are comfortable with taking the market risk, they may try to buy some earlier in the month. That's why they requested that we fund their wallet sooner than later..

Let me know if you have any questions.

On Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 12:48 PM

We have dramatically increased the amount of XRP that they have on hand. I'm not comfortable with their balance at that level.

Do we understand the dynamics of why they are moving to withdrawals in such very large chunks? It would be useful to understand what is going on as this behavior is certainly very new and puts us in a position to extend a lot of XRP in advance of an actual purchase.



On Wed, Jun 10, 2020 at 4:16 PM <u>@ripple.com</u>> wrote:

old me that they currently plan to withdraw all of \$30M at the end of this month, however, depending on the market condition, they may potentially withdraw some of the amount earlier in the month. Therefore, they'd like us to fund 60M as soon as we can.

Let me know if there is any issue here.

Thanks

On Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 12:57 PM

Yes, they previously told me that they would expect to purchase around \$20M, though they won't know for sure the final number until the final month of the quarter since it would depend on their quarterly financials they decided to purchase up to the max amount for this quarter too.

As to the timing of commitment, I believe that they should be okay to delay the request until the balance goes below 50M, but let me double-check.

Thanks,

On Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 12:33 PN pripple.com wrote:

Initially we thought they were planning on only purchasing \$20M this quarter. Is there something to point to as to the change in expectations?

With their current balance so high I'd prefer not to fund additional amounts until they bring the balance down below 50m XRP.

June is quite tight on XRP supply so I would really like to avoid funding preemptively if possible.

Thanks,



















